## **EXHIBIT 3**

```
Pages 1 - 44
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
              BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP
WAYMO, LLC
              Plaintiff,
                                     ) No. C 17-00939 WHA
  vs.
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, LLC., OTTO
TRUCKING, LLC, and OTTOMOTTO, LLC, )
                                         San Francisco, California
             Defendants.
                                        Thursday
                                     )
                                         October 26, 2017
                                     )
                                         9:00 a.m.
                    TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
APPEARANCES:
For Plaintiff:
                         QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART, OLIVER
                            & SULLIVAN, LLP
                         50 California Street
                         22nd Floor
                         San Francisco, California 94111
                    BY: CHARLES KRAMER VERHOEVEN, ESQ.
                         DAVID PERLSON, ESQ.
                         JORDAN R. JAFFE, ESQ.
                         MELISSA BAILY, ESQ.
                         DAVID EISEMAN, ESQ.
                         QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART, OLIVER
                            & SULLIVAN, LLP
                          555 Twin Dolphin Drive
                         5th Floor.
                         Redwood Shores, California 94065
                         ANDREA PALLIOS ROBERTS, ESQ.
                    BY:
                         MARK TUNG, ESQ.
           (APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)
Reported By:
           Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR
           Official Reporter - US District Court
           Computerized Transcription By Eclipse
```

MS. ROBERTS: That's correct.

THE COURT: If they use the 30(b)6 deposition in any way before the jury, through an expert or directly read in, then he gets to come back and explain it in your rebuttal case, but not in your case-in-chief.

In other words, you can't use him in your case-in-chief because he's a new witness. But if they use him as a 30(b)6, read-in or through the expert, that he's relied upon, then he gets to come back limited to explaining what the -- the use that was made of his testimony by the Defense. But that would only occur in your rebuttal case.

Now, what do you say to that?

MS. ROBERTS: Well, your Honor, I think since -since they deposed him, their approach to preparing to cross
examine him at trial would be no different than their approach
to cross examining everybody else because they have his
deposition.

THE COURT: Yeah, but under that theory that everybody who got deposed in the case then is open season.

Anybody can -- can just automatically add them to their trial list, right? Everybody who was deposed.

MS. ROBERTS: Fair enough, your Honor. There is just one witness, and I think this falls into the category that Mr. Jacobs raised, where sometimes parties negotiate for some additions after they file their pretrial order.

## CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Llelia L. Pard

Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR
Thursday, October 26, 2017